		Criteria from RFP			Place a Y III the appropr		nmunities		Place a Yill the approp		Foundation
	B	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous			00.11 4.1	COORE	No. Adv.		10011
Criteria #	Affordable housing	Proposal provides units affordable to mostly higher AMIs and with a maximum AMI greater than 80%.	Proposal provides units across a wide range of AMIs, with a maximum AMI of 80%.	Proposal provides units across a wide range of AMIs, with a maximum AMI of 80%, and family sizes.	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous	SCORE	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous
2	Retain Pepin gym and/or auditorium/ cafeteria for public use	Proposal does not retain either the Pepin gym or the auditorium/cafeteria. Or, the Proposal retains either or both spaces but the Respondent does not provide the information requested in Sections III and IV.	retain the Pepin gym or the auditorium/cafeteria. The Respondent complies with the requirements in Sections	Proposal presents an option to retain the Pepin gym and the auditorium/cafeteria. The Respondent complies with the requirements in Sections III and IV for the retention of both spaces.				NA			
3	Community connections Options include, but are not limited to, the following: Public parking Neighborhood playground Indoor community space	Proposal does not create a space that integrates identified community desires into the proposed development.	Proposal creates one or two options for community interactions.	Proposal creates three or more options for community interactions.				NA			
4	Responsive design	Proposal does not consider either building or site in the context of the existing built environment.	Proposal responds to the existing built environment in the design of both building and site.	Proposal is imaginative in its response to the existing built environment in the design of both building and site.				NA			
5	Environmental sustainability	Proposal is not eligible for one or more of the certification programs.	Proposal is eligible for LEED, SITES, or other relevant certification.	Proposal commits to applying for LEED, SITES, or other relevant certification.				NA			
6	Number of sites in the proposal	Proposal is for one school only.	Proposal includes Center and Pepin as a single site.	Proposal includes all three schools, with Center and Pepin treated as a single site.				NA			
7	Retention of existing buildings	Proposal does not address the retention of any of the existin buildings or historic components of the buildings.	·	Proposal retains all three buildings and incorporates the history of the building into the proposal.				NA			
8	New construction	·	Proposal includes demolition of the existing building(s) and meets the minimum requirements for new construction in Section III.	Proposal includes demolition of the existing building(s) and goes beyond the minimum requirements for new construction in Section III, including LEED or SITES certification of the new structure.				NA			

Place a Y in the appropriate box (one per row).

Place a Y in the appropriate box (one per row).

_					Place a Y in the appropr		<u> </u>		Place a Y in the appropi		
		Criteria from RFP	A discontinuos com	High by Ashrondana and		Arch Com	nmunities			The NHF	Foundation
Criteria	# Description	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous	SCORE	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous
9	Control	Proposal requires City to maintain control of the properties for more than 18 months.	Proposal requires City to maintain control of the properties for less than 18 months.	Proposal minimizes the amount of time the buildings remain under City control.				NA			
10	Experience	Respondent has experience with three or fewer complete projects that involve the reuse of schools or buildings of a similar scale for housing in Massachusetts.	Respondent has experience d with three completed projects that involve the reuse of schools or buildings of a similar scale for housing in Massachusetts.	completed projects that involve the reuse of schools or buildings of a similar scale for housing in Massachusetts.				NA			
		If the respondent proposes new construction, projects must reflect experience with new construction.	If the respondent proposes new construction, projects must reflect experience with new construction.	If the respondent proposes new construction, projects must reflect experience with new construction.				IVA			
11	Financial Status	Proposal does not include a letter of preliminary interest or bank references do not indicate a familiarity with the funding sources appropriate for a project of this size and complexity.	Letter of preliminary interest matches the needs in the pro forma and bank references indicate a familiarity with the funding sources appropriate for a project of this size and complexity.	Letter of preliminary interest matches the needs in the pro forma and bank references indicate significant expertise with the funding sources appropriate for a project of this size and complexity.				NA			
12	Pro Formas	Pro forma line items do not match commitment to community benefits. Direct financial benefit to the City is lower than other proposals.	adequate to support promised community benefits.	promised community benefits.				NA			
13	Interview	Respondent cannot clearly explain the proposal in the interview and is non-responsive to the Evaluation Committee's questions.	Respondent can clearly explain the proposal and responds adequately to the Evaluation Committee's questions.	Respondent actively engages the Evaluation Committee around the proposal and the questions and demonstrates a clear ability to work with the City.				NA			
							Total Score	0			

Place a Y in the appropriate box (on	e per row).
	Way Finders

					y rinuers
Criteria #	Description	SCORE	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous
1	Affordable housing	NA			
2	Retain Pepin gym and/or auditorium/ cafeteria for public use	NA			
3	Community connections Options include, but are not limited to, the following: Public parking Neighborhood playground Indoor community space	NA			
4	Responsive design	NA			
5	Environmental sustainability	NA			
6	Number of sites in the proposal	NA			
7	Retention of existing buildings	NA			
8	New construction	NA			

			Place a Y in the appropriate box (one per row). Way Finders					
	Description	SCORE	Not Advantageous	Advantageous	Highly Advantageous	SCORI		
9	Control	NA				NA		
10	Experience	NA				NA		
11	Financial Status	NA				NA		
12	Pro Formas	NA				NA		
13	Interview	NA				N <i>A</i>		
		0				0		